

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

WILLIAM BURCHIANTI	X	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	No.
	:	
vs.	:	<b>ORIGINAL COMPLAINT</b>
	:	
THE STANDARD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.	:	
	:	<b>Jury Trial: No</b>
Defendant.	:	
	X	

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, Gauthier, Houghtaling and Williams, for a Complaint against the Defendant, The Standard Fire Insurance Company, alleges the following upon information and belief:

**PARTIES**

1. The Plaintiff, William Burchianti, is an individual who resides and is domiciled in this judicial district. His property, located at the address shown below, was insured against flood damages by the Defendant.

Burchianti, William	8 September Walk	Long Beach	NY	11561	Policy No. 65003852472012
---------------------	------------------	------------	----	-------	---------------------------

2. The Standard Fire Insurance Company (“Defendant”) was and is a private insurance company incorporated under the laws of the State of Connecticut with its principal place of business located at One Tower Square, Hartford, CT 06183.

3. Defendant is a “Write Your Own” (“WYO”) carrier participating in the National Flood Insurance Program pursuant to the National Flood Insurance Act (“NFIA”), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 4001, et seq. Defendant issued Standard Flood Insurance Policies (the “Policies”) in

its own name, as a fiscal agent of the United States. Pursuant to 44 C.F.R. Section 62.23(d) and (i)(6), Defendant was and is responsible for arranging the adjustment, settlement, payment and defense of all claims arising under the Policies.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. This action arises under the NFIA, Federal regulations and common law, and the flood insurance Policy that Defendant procured for and issued to Plaintiff in its capacity as a WYO carrier under the Act. The Policy covered losses to Plaintiff's property, which is located in this judicial district.

5. Federal Courts have exclusive jurisdiction pursuant 42 U.S.C. § 4072 over all disputed claims under NFIA Policies, without regard to the amount in controversy, whether brought against the government or a WYO company.

6. This Court has original exclusive jurisdiction to hear this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 4072 because the insured property is located in this judicial district.

7. This Court also has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action requires the application of federal statutes and regulations and involves substantial questions of federal law.

### **VENUE**

8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 42 U.S.C. § 4072 because it is where the Plaintiff's property is located and where a substantial part of the events at issue took place.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

9. Plaintiff purchased a Standard Flood Insurance Policy (the “Policy”) from Defendant to insure his property and contents located at the address shown above against physical damage by or from flood.

10. Plaintiff paid all premiums when due and the Policy was in full force and effect on the date relevant to this suit.

11. On or about October 29, 2012, Superstorm Sandy (the “Storm”) made landfall in the greater New York Metropolitan area, causing widespread devastation and severely damaging Plaintiff’s property.

12. As a result of the Storm, Plaintiff suffered losses by and from flood to his insured property and to personal property and contents located therein. Plaintiff has incurred and/or will incur significant expenses to repair and replace his flood-damaged property.

13. Plaintiff reported the damage and properly submitted his claims to Defendant. Plaintiff duly performed and fully complied with all of the conditions of the Policy.

14. Defendant unjustifiably failed and/or refused to perform its obligations under the Policy and wrongfully denied or unfairly limited payment on the claims of the Plaintiff.

15. Plaintiff retained independent experts to evaluate the damages to his insured property caused by and from flood. The experts determined and found conclusive evidence that the flood event critically damaged Plaintiff’s covered property. These damages were thoroughly documented and reports were submitted to Defendant for review. Defendant has unfairly and improperly persisted in denying the claims.

## **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

### ***Breach of Contract***

16. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

17. Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a contract when Plaintiff purchased and Defendant issued the Policy.

18. The Policy, at all times relevant and material to this case, provided flood insurance coverage to the Plaintiff for, among other things, physical damage caused by flood to his property and contents located at the address shown above.

19. Plaintiff fully performed under the contract by paying all premiums when due and cooperating with Defendant regarding his claim. Plaintiff complied with all conditions precedent to his recovery herein, including appropriate and adequate demands, or Defendant waived or excused such conditions precedent.

20. Defendant failed to perform and materially breached the insurance contract when it wrongly failed to pay and refused to reimburse Plaintiff that he was owed for damages the Storm caused to his covered property. Defendant also breached the contract by failing to perform other obligations it owed under the Policy.

21. By virtue of its various breaches of contract, including its failure to fully reimburse the Plaintiff for his covered losses, Defendant is liable to and owes Plaintiff for the actual damages he sustained as a foreseeable and direct result of the breach, all costs associated with recovering, repairing and/or replacing the covered property, together with interest and all other damages Plaintiff may prove as allowed by law.

**REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in his favor for such amounts as he may prove at trial, for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, expenses, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law, and other and further relief the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Gauthier Houghtaling and Williams**

By: s/Sean Greenwood  
Sean Greenwood  
SG 3836  
52 Duane Street, Fl. 7  
New York, NY 10007  
Telephone: (646) 461-9197  
Facsimile: (713) 630-8074

Attorneys for Plaintiff